




		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 1	1879	437	23.26%	199	10.59%	784	41.72%	399	21.23%	60	3.19%
Lake County	1879	437	23.26%	199	10.59%	784	41.72%	399	21.23%	60	3.19%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 2	506	130	25.69%	97	19.17%	200	39.53%	66	13.04%	13	2.57%
Jasper County	31	1	3.23%	2	6.45%	18	58.06%	10	32.26%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 2	506	130	25.69%	97	19.17%	200	39.53%	66	13.04%	13	2.57%
Laporte County	70	20	28.57%	8	11.43%	31	44.29%	10	14.29%	1	1.43%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 2	506	130	25.69%	97	19.17%	200	39.53%	66	13.04%	13	2.57%
Newton County	30	16	53.33%	4	13.33%	1	3.33%	9	30.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 2	506	130	25.69%	97	19.17%	200	39.53%	66	13.04%	13	2.57%
Porter County	279	61	21.86%	69	24.73%	115	41.22%	23	8.24%	11	3.94%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 2	506	130	25.69%	97	19.17%	200	39.53%	66	13.04%	13	2.57%
Pulaski County	31	16	51.61%	1	3.23%	12	38.71%	2	6.45%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 2	506	130	25.69%	97	19.17%	200	39.53%	66	13.04%	13	2.57%
Starke County	65	16	24.62%	13	20.00%	23	35.38%	12	18.46%	1	1.54%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 3	1426	392	27.49%	95	6.66%	695	48.74%	172	12.06%	72	5.05%
Elkhart County	459	130	28.32%	29	6.32%	222	48.37%	47	10.24%	31	6.75%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			me Relative Home		Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 3	1426	392	27.49%	95	6.66%	695	48.74%	172	12.06%	72	5.05%
Kosciusko County	51	13	25.49%	15	29.41%	20	39.22%	3	5.88%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 3	1426	392	27.49%	95	6.66%	695	48.74%	172	12.06%	72	5.05%
Marshall County	104	25	24.04%	11	10.58%	55	52.88%	12	11.54%	1	0.96%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






June 2008												
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%	
Region 3	1426	392	27.49%	95	6.66%	695	48.74%	172	12.06%	72	5.05%	
Saint Joseph County	812	224	27.59%	40	4.93%	398	49.01%	110	13.55%	40	4.93%	
















		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%
Adams County	47	19	40.43%	10	21.28%	10	21.28%	8	17.02%	0	0.00%
















		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%
Allen County	1267	418	32.99%	185	14.60%	494	38.99%	116	9.16%	54	4.26%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008				June 2008											
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her							
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%							
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%							
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%							
Dekalb County	95	24	25.26%	18	18.95%	39	41.05%	12	12.63%	2	2.11%							









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%
Huntington County	33	16	48.48%	4	12.12%	9	27.27%	3	9.09%	1	3.03%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%
LaGrange County	59	22	37.29%	5	8.47%	9	15.25%	12	20.34%	11	18.64%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






	June 2008											
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%	
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%	
Noble County	93	20	21.51%	12	12.90%	43	46.24%	16	17.20%	2	2.15%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%
Steuben County	97	39	40.21%	2	2.06%	42	43.30%	13	13.40%	1	1.03%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%
Wells County	38	7	18.42%	2	5.26%	23	60.53%	3	7.89%	3	7.89%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relativ	Relative Home		Homes	Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 4	1742	566	32.49%	238	13.66%	680	39.04%	184	10.56%	74	4.25%
Whitley County	13	1	7.69%	0	0.00%	11	84.62%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Benton County	11	1	9.09%	4	36.36%	5	45.45%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Carroll County	0	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA	0	NA









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Clinton County	38	14	36.84%	5	13.16%	14	36.84%	2	5.26%	3	7.89%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Fountain County	47	8	17.02%	5	10.64%	26	55.32%	7	14.89%	1	2.13%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Tippecanoe County	267	103	38.58%	35	13.11%	96	35.96%	27	10.11%	6	2.25%
















June 2008											
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
Warren County	3	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			lome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 5	392	136	34.69%	52	13.27%	151	38.52%	43	10.97%	10	2.55%
White County	26	9	34.62%	3	11.54%	10	38.46%	4	15.38%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 6	340	80	23.53%	45	13.24%	176	51.76%	33	9.71%	6	1.76%
Cass County	39	8	20.51%	9	23.08%	21	53.85%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 6	340	80	23.53%	45	13.24%	176	51.76%	33	9.71%	6	1.76%
Fulton County	46	7	15.22%	1	2.17%	29	63.04%	7	15.22%	2	4.35%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 6	340	80	23.53%	45	13.24%	176	51.76%	33	9.71%	6	1.76%
Howard County	114	25	21.93%	16	14.04%	62	54.39%	10	8.77%	1	0.88%
















		June	2008								
County	CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 6	340	80	23.53%	45	13.24%	176	51.76%	33	9.71%	6	1.76%
Miami County	75	26	34.67%	8	10.67%	28	37.33%	12	16.00%	1	1.33%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 6	340	80	23.53%	45	13.24%	176	51.76%	33	9.71%	6	1.76%
Wabash County	66	14	21.21%	11	16.67%	36	54.55%	3	4.55%	2	3.03%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 7	584	194	33.22%	152	26.03%	176	30.14%	49	8.39%	13	2.23%
Blackford County	13	3	23.08%	0	0.00%	9	69.23%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 7	584	194	33.22%	152	26.03%	176	30.14%	49	8.39%	13	2.23%
Delaware County	350	114	32.57%	109	31.14%	100	28.57%	23	6.57%	4	1.14%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 7	584	194	33.22%	152	26.03%	176	30.14%	49	8.39%	13	2.23%
Grant County	165	67	40.61%	30	18.18%	42	25.45%	17	10.30%	9	5.45%
















		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 7	584	194	33.22%	152	26.03%	176	30.14%	49	8.39%	13	2.23%
Jay County	11	1	9.09%	4	36.36%	4	36.36%	2	18.18%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 7	584	194	33.22%	152	26.03%	176	30.14%	49	8.39%	13	2.23%
Randolph County	45	9	20.00%	9	20.00%	21	46.67%	6	13.33%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%
Clay County	6	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008				June 2008												
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her								
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%								
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%								
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%								
Parke County	5	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	2	40.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%								









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%
Sullivan County	33	19	57.58%	4	12.12%	9	27.27%	0	0.00%	1	3.03%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






	June 2008												
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%		
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%		
Vermillion County	21	9	42.86%	1	4.76%	5	23.81%	6	28.57%	0	0.00%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 8	250	93	37.20%	25	10.00%	105	42.00%	23	9.20%	4	1.60%
Vigo County	185	59	31.89%	20	10.81%	88	47.57%	15	8.11%	3	1.62%
















		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 9	427	192	44.96%	75	17.56%	113	26.46%	30	7.03%	17	3.98%
Boone County	110	72	65.45%	12	10.91%	13	11.82%	10	9.09%	3	2.73%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 9	427	192	44.96%	75	17.56%	113	26.46%	30	7.03%	17	3.98%
Hendricks County	96	44	45.83%	11	11.46%	29	30.21%	6	6.25%	6	6.25%
















		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 9	427	192	44.96%	75	17.56%	113	26.46%	30	7.03%	17	3.98%
Montgomery County	114	32	28.07%	29	25.44%	42	36.84%	8	7.02%	3	2.63%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 9	427	192	44.96%	75	17.56%	113	26.46%	30	7.03%	17	3.98%
Morgan County	64	21	32.81%	14	21.88%	24	37.50%	3	4.69%	2	3.13%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	CHINS			Relative Home		Homes	Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 9	427	192	44.96%	75	17.56%	113	26.46%	30	7.03%	17	3.98%
Putnam County	43	23	53.49%	9	20.93%	5	11.63%	3	6.98%	3	6.98%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






	June 2008												
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oth	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%		
Region 10	2999	634	21.14%	824	27.48%	1319	43.98%	151	5.04%	71	2.37%		
Marion County	2999	634	21.14%	824	27.48%	1319	43.98%	151	5.04%	71	2.37%		
















		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 11	489	134	27.40%	120	24.54%	168	34.36%	46	9.41%	21	4.29%
Hamilton County	136	46	33.82%	45	33.09%	35	25.74%	6	4.41%	4	2.94%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 11	489	134	27.40%	120	24.54%	168	34.36%	46	9.41%	21	4.29%
Hancock County	56	16	28.57%	13	23.21%	20	35.71%	5	8.93%	2	3.57%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 11	489	134	27.40%	120	24.54%	168	34.36%	46	9.41%	21	4.29%
Madison County	279	60	21.51%	59	21.15%	111	39.78%	34	12.19%	15	5.38%
















		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home I		ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 11	489	134	27.40%	120	24.54%	168	34.36%	46	9.41%	21	4.29%
Tipton County	18	12	66.67%	3	16.67%	2	11.11%	1	5.56%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		Iome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 12	356	117	32.87%	85	23.88%	117	32.87%	31	8.71%	6	1.69%
Fayette County	59	15	25.42%	14	23.73%	25	42.37%	5	8.47%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 12	356	117	32.87%	85	23.88%	117	32.87%	31	8.71%	6	1.69%
Franklin County	28	19	67.86%	3	10.71%	5	17.86%	0	0.00%	1	3.57%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 12	356	117	32.87%	85	23.88%	117	32.87%	31	8.71%	6	1.69%
Henry County	114	45	39.47%	23	20.18%	35	30.70%	7	6.14%	4	3.51%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 12	356	117	32.87%	85	23.88%	117	32.87%	31	8.71%	6	1.69%
Rush County	39	8	20.51%	20	51.28%	6	15.38%	4	10.26%	1	2.56%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 12	356	117	32.87%	85	23.88%	117	32.87%	31	8.71%	6	1.69%
Union County	15	7	46.67%	4	26.67%	3	20.00%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
















		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 12	356	117	32.87%	85	23.88%	117	32.87%	31	8.71%	6	1.69%
Wayne County	101	23	22.77%	21	20.79%	43	42.57%	14	13.86%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oth	ner
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 13	351	112	31.91%	50	14.25%	149	42.45%	27	7.69%	13	3.70%
Brown County	19	5	26.32%	12	63.16%	0	0.00%	2	10.53%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 13	351	112	31.91%	50	14.25%	149	42.45%	27	7.69%	13	3.70%
Greene County	61	23	37.70%	10	16.39%	26	42.62%	2	3.28%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			me Relative Home I		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 13	351	112	31.91%	50	14.25%	149	42.45%	27	7.69%	13	3.70%
Lawrence County	43	13	30.23%	0	0.00%	24	55.81%	3	6.98%	3	6.98%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS				e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 13	351	112	31.91%	50	14.25%	149	42.45%	27	7.69%	13	3.70%
Monroe County	168	44	26.19%	25	14.88%	76	45.24%	20	11.90%	3	1.79%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 13	351	112	31.91%	50	14.25%	149	42.45%	27	7.69%	13	3.70%
Owen County	60	27	45.00%	3	5.00%	23	38.33%	0	0.00%	7	11.67%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 14	536	169	31.53%	85	15.86%	202	37.69%	50	9.33%	30	5.60%
Bartholomew County	181	51	28.18%	14	7.73%	80	44.20%	21	11.60%	15	8.29%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			e Relative Home			Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 14	536	169	31.53%	85	15.86%	202	37.69%	50	9.33%	30	5.60%
Jackson County	117	29	24.79%	31	26.50%	49	41.88%	5	4.27%	3	2.56%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home CHINS			ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 14	536	169	31.53%	85	15.86%	202	37.69%	50	9.33%	30	5.60%
Jennings County	111	54	48.65%	13	11.71%	29	26.13%	15	13.51%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			ome Relative Home F		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Ot	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 14	536	169	31.53%	85	15.86%	202	37.69%	50	9.33%	30	5.60%
Johnson County	77	9	11.69%	15	19.48%	36	46.75%	7	9.09%	10	12.99%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS		Home	ne Relative Home I			Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 14	536	169	31.53%	85	15.86%	202	37.69%	50	9.33%	30	5.60%
Shelby County	50	26	52.00%	12	24.00%	8	16.00%	2	4.00%	2	4.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS		Home	e Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Dearborn County	73	11	15.07%	12	16.44%	44	60.27%	6	8.22%	0	0.00%
















		June	2008								
County	CHINS			me Relative Home I		Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Decatur County	90	35	38.89%	29	32.22%	18	20.00%	8	8.89%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Jefferson County	92	38	41.30%	11	11.96%	21	22.83%	19	20.65%	3	3.26%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS	Own	Home	Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Oth	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Ohio County	13	4	30.77%	1	7.69%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	1	7.69%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home I		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Ripley County	84	30	35.71%	13	15.48%	34	40.48%	6	7.14%	1	1.19%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 15	389	138	35.48%	68	17.48%	128	32.90%	49	12.60%	6	1.54%
Switzerland County	37	20	54.05%	2	5.41%	7	18.92%	7	18.92%	1	2.70%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home I			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 16	833	238	28.57%	192	23.05%	315	37.82%	65	7.80%	23	2.76%
Gibson County	109	39	35.78%	34	31.19%	28	25.69%	5	4.59%	3	2.75%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home I		e Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 16	833	238	28.57%	192	23.05%	315	37.82%	65	7.80%	23	2.76%
Knox County	133	27	20.30%	34	25.56%	52	39.10%	16	12.03%	4	3.01%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home F		ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 16	833	238	28.57%	192	23.05%	315	37.82%	65	7.80%	23	2.76%
Pike County	31	14	45.16%	3	9.68%	12	38.71%	0	0.00%	2	6.45%
















		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 16	833	238	28.57%	192	23.05%	315	37.82%	65	7.80%	23	2.76%
Posey County	46	11	23.91%	12	26.09%	20	43.48%	3	6.52%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 16	833	238	28.57%	192	23.05%	315	37.82%	65	7.80%	23	2.76%
Vanderburgh County	432	117	27.08%	94	21.76%	181	41.90%	29	6.71%	11	2.55%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home CHINS			Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 16	833	238	28.57%	192	23.05%	315	37.82%	65	7.80%	23	2.76%
Warrick County	82	30	36.59%	15	18.29%	22	26.83%	12	14.63%	3	3.66%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home I		Relative Home		Foster Homes		Resid Ca		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 17	249	53	21.29%	51	20.48%	102	40.96%	35	14.06%	8	3.21%
Crawford County	62	11	17.74%	12	19.35%	24	38.71%	12	19.35%	3	4.84%
















		June	2008								
County	Total Own Home I		me Relative Home I		Foster Homes		Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 17	249	53	21.29%	51	20.48%	102	40.96%	35	14.06%	8	3.21%
Daviess County	47	11	23.40%	8	17.02%	18	38.30%	10	21.28%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	Total CHINS			ne Relative Home		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 17	249	53	21.29%	51	20.48%	102	40.96%	35	14.06%	8	3.21%
Dubois County	34	9	26.47%	3	8.82%	17	50.00%	2	5.88%	3	8.82%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






		June	2008								
County	CHINS			Relativ	e Home	Foster	Homes	Resid Ca	ential are	Otl	her
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%
Region 17	249	53	21.29%	51	20.48%	102	40.96%	35	14.06%	8	3.21%
Martin County	15	4	26.67%	4	26.67%	4	26.67%	3	20.00%	0	0.00%









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






June 2008												
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		Iome Relative Home		Home Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%	
Region 17	249	53	21.29%	51	20.48%	102	40.96%	35	14.06%	8	3.21%	
Orange County	23	10	43.48%	3	13.04%	10	43.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
















June 2008													
County	Total CHINS			ne Relative Home		e Foster Homes		Residential Care		Oth	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%		
Region 17	249	53	21.29%	51	20.48%	102	40.96%	35	14.06%	8	3.21%		
Perry County	56	5	8.93%	15	26.79%	27	48.21%	7	12.50%	2	3.57%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






June 2008													
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Hom		Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%		
Region 17	249	53	21.29%	51	20.48%	102	40.96%	35	14.06%	8	3.21%		
Spencer County	12	3	25.00%	6	50.00%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






June 2008												
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		ome Relative Hor		me Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%	
Region 18	610	233	38.20%	103	16.89%	204	33.44%	59	9.67%	11	1.80%	
Clark County	266	96	36.09%	44	16.54%	96	36.09%	23	8.65%	7	2.63%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






June 2008												
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Ho		me Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%	
Region 18	610	233	38.20%	103	16.89%	204	33.44%	59	9.67%	11	1.80%	
Floyd County	66	25	37.88%	2	3.03%	30	45.45%	7	10.61%	2	3.03%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






June 2008												
County	Total CHINS			ome Relative Home		e Home Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%	
Region 18	610	233	38.20%	103	16.89%	204	33.44%	59	9.67%	11	1.80%	
Harrison County	81	24	29.63%	22	27.16%	18	22.22%	16	19.75%	1	1.23%	









Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008






June 2008												
County	Total CHINS			Home Relative Ho		ome Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her	
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%	
Region 18	610	233	38.20%	103	16.89%	204	33.44%	59	9.67%	11	1.80%	
Scott County	144	73	50.69%	24	16.67%	37	25.69%	10	6.94%	0	0.00%	
















June 2008													
County	Total CHINS	Own Home		ome Relative Ho		me Foster Homes		Residential Care		Otl	her		
		Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%		
Statewide	14358	4048	28.19%	2556	17.80%	5784	40.28%	1512	10.53%	458	3.19%		
Region 18	610	233	38.20%	103	16.89%	204	33.44%	59	9.67%	11	1.80%		
Washington County	53	15	28.30%	11	20.75%	23	43.40%	3	5.66%	1	1.89%		







Prepared by Office of Data Management, Reports, and Analysis July 01 2008

